



Overview on Gallstone Ileus: An Update on Management

Kumar H.R^{a++*}

^a *Department of Surgery, Taylor's University School of Medicine and Health Science, 47500 Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajmah/2024/v22i121152>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128863>

Review Article

Received: 22/10/2024
Accepted: 24/12/2024
Published: 28/12/2024

ABSTRACT

Gallstone ileus is a rare complication of gallstone disease and is seen in less than 5% of cases of intestinal obstruction. The diagnosis is confirmed by a combination of clinical presentation and imaging modalities in the form of abdominal x-ray and computerized tomography which will show gas in the biliary system and dilated bowel. The management of gallstone ileus is by surgical therapy, which can be divided into an enterotomy alone or an enterotomy followed by excision of the cholecystic-enteric fistula and a cholecystectomy. As the choice of surgical therapy is tailor made to the patient, we have conducted this review article to look at the diagnosis and treatment of this condition. We have also looked at the various types of surgical therapy that is done for gallstone ileus.

Keywords: *Gallstone ileus; gallstone coleus; enterotomy; one-staged procedure; two staged procedure; laparoscopy and Intestinal obstruction.*

⁺⁺ Associate Professor of Surgery;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: kharirajah@yahoo.com.my;

1. INTRODUCTION

Gallstone ileus is a rare complication of gallstone disease that is seen in 0.3% to 0.5% of patients with cholelithiasis. It is seen in elderly and predominantly female patients, accounting for symptoms of acute intestinal obstruction. Gallstone ileus occurs when a gallstone is passed into the intestine by a fistulous tract that forms between the inflamed gallbladder and the duodenum, stomach and colon. The average size of the gallstones is 4cm and the most common site of obstruction is at the ileo-cecal valve, followed by the duodenum and colon (Alemi et al., 2019; Inukai, 2019; Memon et al., 2021). The clinical presentation is depended on the site of obstruction with abdominal pain, vomiting and constipation, as the symptoms progress, they may proceed to acute intestinal obstruction. The diagnosis may be obtained by performing imaging modalities like abdominal Xray which will show a radiopaque stone, air in the biliary system and dilated loops of bowel. This is referred to as the Rigler's triad, but these findings are only seen in 40% of cases, Computerized tomography is the gold standard in the diagnosis of gallstone ileus with a sensitivity of 90% (Ploneda-Valencia et al., 2017).

The management of gallstone ileus can be divided into conservative treatment of intestinal obstruction which involves fluid resuscitation with intravenous fluids, keep the patients fasted, starting intravenous analgesics and insertion of a Ryles tube. Surgical Intervention is the definitive treatment of choices for gallstone ileus. Surgical therapy can be divided into a one stage procedure which involves an enterotomy, excision of the cholecystic-enteric fistula and a cholecystectomy. This procedure though is associated with a high mortality rate and prolonged anesthesia and is reserved for patients who fit and with no co-morbidities. The two staged procedure involves performing an enterotomy and removal of the gallstone that is causing obstruction and the excision of the fistula and cholecystectomy is performed later as a second procedure once the patient's acute condition has improved. The two-stage procedure is associated with reduced mortality when compared to the one staged procedure (Abou-Saif & Al-Kawas, 2002; Ayantunde & Agrawal, 2007; Dodgion & de Moya, 2019; Saldinger & Itskovich, 2016).

Laparoscopy has been attempted in the surgical management of gallstone ileus but there are

difficulties encountered with finding the site of obstruction, examining the entire length of the bowel, and performing the surgery in the presence of dilated bowel which prolongs the duration of surgery. Laparoscopy will require an advanced laparoscopic surgeon and in an advanced center that can treat these patients (Zaliekas & Munson, 2008). Other methods that have been used include extra-corporeal shock wave lithotripsy, endoscopic shock wave lithotripsy but they are not popular in the management of gallstone ileus (Beuran et al., 2010).

We have conducted this review article to investigate the diagnosis and management options in gallstone ileus. The role of abdominal x-ray and computerized tomography in the diagnosis of gallstone ileus is investigated. We have reviewed the single stage enterotomy and the two stage enterotomy, fistula excision and cholecystectomy. We conducted a literature review using PUBMED, Cochrane database of clinical reviews and Google scholar looking for clinical trial, observational studies, cohort studies systemic reviews, and meta-analysis from 1980 to 2024. We used the following keywords, "Gallstone ileus", "Gallstone coelus", "Enterotomy", "One stage procedure", "Two stage procedure", "laparoscopy" and "intestinal obstruction". All articles were in English language only. Further articles were obtained by manual cross referencing of the literature. Case reports and studies with less than 10 patients and editorials were excluded. Adult male and female patients were included in this study. Pregnant patients and pediatric patients were excluded.

2. DIAGNOSIS OF GALLSTONE ILEUS

Patients with gallstone ileus often present with symptoms of intestinal obstruction and the most common imaging modality that is performed first is an abdominal x-ray. Abdominal x-ray will demonstrate the Rigler's triad and is diagnostic of gallstone ileus, but it is seen in only 40% of patients. Ultrasound of the abdomen may be used to detect any pathology in the biliary system like gallstones, but computerized tomography is the gold standard in the diagnosis of gallstone ileus. Computerized tomography can identify the site of obstruction, the presence of air in the biliary system and the site of the cholecystic - enteric fistula. Computerized tomography has a sensitivity of 90%-93% and a specificity of 100% in the diagnosis of gallstone ileus. Magnetic

resonance imaging can also be used in the diagnosis of gallstone ileus with a sensitivity of 97.7% but it is time consuming to performed and not routinely available in most hospitals and hence is not used (Lassandro et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2017; Ripollés et al, 2001 & Yu CY et al., 2005).

3. MANAGEMENT OF GALLSTONE ILEUS

The management of gallstone ileus can be divided into initial conservative treatment with intra-venous fluids, analgesics, antibiotics followed by definitive surgical treatment. Surgical treatment can be divided into the single stage procedure which involves performing an enterotomy alone to relieve the obstruction and the two-stage procedure that involves performing an enterotomy, excision and repair of the cholecystic-enteric fistula and a cholecystectomy (Doko et al., 2003). Enterotomy with stone extraction was compared with enterotomy, excision of the cholecystic-enteric fistula and cholecystectomy and the operative time, morbidity and mortality was reduced in the enterotomy group when compared to the group that underwent enterotomy, excision of the fistula and cholecystectomy (Requena-López et al., 2020; de Alencastro et al., 2013; Martínez et al., 2009; Muthukumarasamy et al., 2008; Scuderi et al., 2018 & Gungor et al., 2022). As most of the patients of gallstone ileus are elderly and have co-morbidities, the enterotomy and removal of the stone is the safest emergency procedure that is associated with better outcomes (Halabi et al., 2014; Mallipeddi et al., 2013; Vera-Mansilla et al., 2022 & Vasilescu et al., 2022).

The single staged procedure which involves performing an enterotomy, excision of the cholecystic-enteric fistula and a cholecystectomy is considered a definitive procedure and should be performed in young patients with an American Society of Anesthesia (ASA) score of less than 3, and with reduced co-morbidities (like hypertension, heart disease and diabetes mellitus)(Riaz et al., 2008). There were no significant differences with regards to the morbidity and mortality rates between the single stage and two stage procedure in some centers(Tan YM et al., 2004). One of the important complications from the single stage procedure is the risk of leakage from the enterotomy site or from the site of fistula excision, hence the need to provide this procedure for patients who are fit and have a

good American Society of Anesthesia(ASA) score (Kirchmayr et al., 2005).

A systemic review and meta-analysis was conducted on the management of gallstone ileus by (Vadher et al. 2024) studies with 293 patients were in patients this study, and this study showed that there was a reduction in the mortality in patients who underwent a single stage procedure when compared to the two stage procedure, but the morbidity and length of operative time was higher in the single stage procedure when compared to the two stage procedure. This study than concluded that the single stage procedure had a good risk benefit ratio in terms of decreased mortality but the decision on which procedure to preform will depend on patient factors and the risk of surgery (Vadher D et al., 2024).

Laparoscopic management of gallstone ileus has been attempted but some of the problems that can be encountered include the lack of space due to the dilated bowel, the difficulty to identify the site of obstruction, removal of the gallstones and the need to perform bowel resection. The prolonged anesthesia and the effect of gas insufflation may influence the intra-operative management of elderly patients. Laparoscopic surgery may be offered in centers where the experience and services is available (Zygomalas et al., 2012 & Owera et al., 2008).

4. RECURRENT GALLSTONE ILEUS

The risk of recurrent gallstone ileus is reported at 5%-8% and is predominantly seen in patients who had undergone an Enterotomy. Most patients experienced recurrence of symptoms of gallstone ileus after six weeks of undergoing treatment. The most common site for impaction is still at the ileum or at the ileocecal valve. The management of recurrent gallstone ileus is with the single staged procedure which involves an enterotomy and removal of the stone followed by excision of the fistula, but the mortality is still high (Mir et al., 2015). A systemic review and meta-analysis was conducted by Alzerwi et al on recurrent gallstone ileus.50 studies with 56 patients were included in this study and 87.3% of the patients were women, the median time for recurrence was 20.5 days, the region where the stone was lodged was similar and the mortality rate was 11.8% (Alzerwi et al., 2021).

Table 1. Table showing the mortality rate between patients with gallstone ileus who underwent enterotomy alone versus enterotomy with fistula excision and cholecystectomy

Study	Year	Study type	N=numbers	Mortality rate (enterotomy) (%)	Mortality rate (enterotomy + fistula excision cholecystectomy) (%)
Doko et al.	2003	Retrospective study	30	9.1%	11.1%
Yakan et al.	2010	Retrospective study	12	16.6%	33.3%
Alencastro et al.	2013	Retrospective study	12	12.5%	25%
Mallipeddi et al.	2013	Retrospective study	127	5.3%	7.1%

5. BOUVERET'S SYNDROME

Bouveret's syndrome is a variant of gallstone ileus which is characterized by gastric outlet obstruction secondary from and impacted gallstone. It is seen in 2% -3% of all cases of gallstone ileus and is predominantly seen in female patients. The diagnosis is usually confirmed by computerized tomography which has a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 100% (Haddad et al., 2018). The management of Bouveret's syndrome can be divided into endoscopic and surgical treatment. Endoscopic treatment which involves the use of esophagogastroduodenoscopy and removal of the stone is difficult and associated with a high failure rate. Surgical treatment can be divided into performing an enterotomy and removal of the stone followed by a gastrojejunostomy if the duodenal wall is friable. This is the most common procedure that is performed. The second type of procedure involves performing an enterotomy, removal of the stone, followed by excision of the fistula and performing a cholecystectomy, but it is associated with a higher morbidity and mortality (Lowe et al., 2005 ; Nickel et al., 2013; & Dađa et al., 2024).

Endoscopic removal of the stone with a net or basket has been attempted in some centers and for stones that are larger than 2cm, mechanical, extra hydraulic, laser and extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy can be attempted. Endoscopic therapy requires specialist equipment and training, and these are the drawback of this form of therapy (Caldwell et al., 2018 & Dumonceau & Devière, 2016).

6. CONCLUSION

Gallstone ileus is a rare complication of gallstone disease that is predominantly seen in elderly, female patients and the diagnosis is confirmed by performing a computerized tomography. The management of gallstone ileus initially involves fluid resuscitation, antibiotics and analgesics. The definitive therapy is surgical treatment, which can be divided into the single stage repair, which involves performing an enterotomy, excision of the cholecystic-enteric fistula and a cholecystectomy and the two-stage procedure which involves performing an enterotomy alone and the cholecystectomy and fistula excision later. The choice of surgical is often tailor made to the clinical presentation and co-morbidities of the patient. The experience of the surgeon and

facilities that are available are also factors that will decide what sort of surgical procedure needs to be performed for this patient.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Abou-Saif, A., & Al-Kawas, F. H. (2002). Complications of gallstone disease: Mirizzi syndrome, cholecystocholedochal fistula, and gallstone ileus. *Official Journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG*, 97(2), 249-254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2002.05451.x>
- Alemi, F., Seiser, N., & Ayloo, S. (2019). Gallstone disease: Cholecystitis, Mirizzi syndrome, Bouveret syndrome, gallstone ileus. *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 99(2), 231-244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2018.12.006>
- Alzerwi, N. A. N., Idrees, B., Alsareii, S., Aldebasi, Y., & Alsultan, A. (2021). The regularity of the site of impaction in recurrent gallstone ileus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of reported cases. *Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 2021, 5539789. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5539789>
- Ayantunde, A. A., & Agrawal, A. (2007). Gallstone ileus: Diagnosis and management. *World Journal of Surgery*, 31(6), 1292-1297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-007-9011-9>
- Beuran, M., Ivanov, I., & Venter, M. D. (2010). Gallstone ileus—clinical and therapeutic

- aspects. *Journal of Medical Life*, 3(4), 365-371.
PMID: 21254732;
PMCID: PMC3019077
- Caldwell, K. M., Lee, S. J., Leggett, P. L., Bajwa, K. S., Mehta, S. S., & Shah, S. K. (2018). Bouveret syndrome: Current management strategies. *Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology*, 11, 69-75.
<https://doi.org/10.2147/CEG.S132069>
- Chang, L., Chang, M., Chang, H. M., Chang, A. I., & Chang, F. (2018). Clinical and radiological diagnosis of gallstone ileus: A mini review. *Emergency Radiology*, 25(2), 189-196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10140-017-1568-5>
- Dađa, P., Pawlik, P., Zaroda, P., Niewinna, P., Źuchowski, M., Mańdziuk, D., Kołodziej, K., Kołodziej, W., Wawrzakowicz, J., & Korga, M. (2024). Bouveret's syndrome: Diagnostic dilemmas and therapeutic strategies—A comprehensive educational approach for healthcare professionals. *Journal of Education, Health, and Sport*, 69, 48190.
<https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/48190>
- de Alencastro, M. C., Cardoso, K. T., Mendes, C. A., Boteon, Y. L., de Carvalho, R. B., & Fraga, G. P. (2013). Acute intestinal obstruction due to gallstone ileus. *Revista do Colégio Brasileiro de Cirurgiões*, 40(4), 275-280. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-69912013000400004>
- Dodgion, C., & de Moya, M. (2019). Gallstone ileus. In *Emergency General Surgery: A Practical Approach* (pp. 165-173). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96286-3_13
- Doko, M., Zovak, M., Kopljar, M., Glavan, E., Ljubicic, N., Hochstädter, H. (2003). Comparison of surgical treatments of gallstone ileus: Preliminary report. *World Journal of Surgery*, 27(4), 400-404.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-002-6569-0>
- Dumonceau, J. M., & Devière, J. (2016). Novel treatment options for Bouveret's syndrome: A comprehensive review of 61 cases of successful endoscopic treatment. *Expert Review of Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 10(11), 1245-1255.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2016.1241142>
- Gungor, F., Atalay, Y., Acar, N., Gur, E. O., Kokulu, I., Acar, T., Karasu, S., & Dilek, O. N. (2020). Clinical outcome of gallstone ileus; a single-centre experience of case series and review of the literature. *Acta Chirurgica Belgica*, 122(1), 7-14.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00015458.2020.1816673>
- Haddad, F. G., Mansour, W., & Deeb, L. (2018). Bouveret's syndrome: Literature review. *Cureus*, 10(3), e2299.
<https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.2299>
- Halabi, W. J., Kang, C. Y., Ketana, N., Lafaro, K. J., Nguyen, V. Q., Stamos, M. J., Imagawa, D. K., & Demirjian, A. N. (2014). Surgery for gallstone ileus: A nationwide comparison of trends and outcomes. *Annals of Surgery*, 259(2), 329-335.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e31827eefed>
- Inukai, K. (2019). Gallstone ileus: A review. *BMJ Open Gastroenterology*, 6(1), e000344.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgast-2019-000344>
- Kirchmayr, W., Mühlmann, G., Zitt, M., Bodner, J., Weiss, H., & Klaus, A. (2005). Gallstone ileus: Rare and still controversial. *ANZ Journal of Surgery*, 75(4), 234-238.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-2197.2005.03368.x>
- Lassandro, F., Gagliardi, N., Scuderi, M., Pinto, A., Gatta, G., & Mazzeo, R. (2004). Gallstone ileus: Analysis of radiological findings in 27 patients. *European Journal of Radiology*, 50(1), 23-29.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2003.11.011>
- Lowe, A. S., Stephenson, S., Kay, C. L., & May, J. (2005). Duodenal obstruction by gallstones (Bouveret's syndrome): A review of the literature. *Endoscopy*, 37(1), 82-87. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-826100>
- Mallipeddi, M. K., Pappas, T. N., Shapiro, M. L., & Scarborough, J. E. (2013). Gallstone ileus: Revisiting surgical outcomes using National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 184(1), 84-88.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2013.05.027>
- Martínez Ramos, D., Daroca José, J. M., Escrig Sos, J., Paiva Coronel, G., Alcalde Sánchez, M., & Salvador Sanchís, J. L. (2009). Gallstone ileus: Management options and results on a series of 40 patients. *Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas*, 101(2), 117-120, 121-124.
<https://doi.org/10.4321/s1130-01082009000200005>

- Memon, J., Jarwar, M., Soomro, S. A., Memon, A. S., & Memon, R. J. (2021). Clinical pattern and frequency of co-morbidities among patients presented with cholelithiasis at tertiary care hospital. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*, 33(14), 57–62. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jpri/2021/v33i1431276>
- Mir, S. A., Hussain, Z., Davey, C. A., Miller, G. V., & Chintapatla, S. (2015). Management and outcome of recurrent gallstone ileus: A systematic review. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 7(8), 152-159. <https://doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v7.i8.152>
- Muthukumarasamy, G., Venkata, S. P., Shaikh, I. A., Somani, B. K., & Ravindran, R. (2008). Gallstone ileus: Surgical strategies and clinical outcome. *Journal of Digestive Diseases*, 9(3), 156-161. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-2980.2008.00338.x>
- Nickel, F., Müller-Eschner, M. M., Chu, J., von Tengg-Kobligk, H., & Müller-Stich, B. P. (2013). Bouveret's syndrome: Presentation of two cases with review of the literature and development of a surgical treatment strategy. *BMC Surgery*, 13, 33. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2482-13-33>
- Owera, A., Low, J., & Ammori, B. J. (2008). Laparoscopic enterolithotomy for gallstone ileus. *Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques*, 18(5), 450-452. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLE.0b013e318180570f>
- Ploneda-Valencia, C. F., Gallo-Morales, M., Rinchon, C., Navarro-Muñiz, E., Bautista-López, C. A., de la Cerda-Trujillo, L. F., Rea-Azpeitia, L. A., & López-Lizarraga, C. R. (2017). Gallstone ileus: An overview of the literature. *Revista de Gastroenterología de México*, 82(3), 248-254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rgmx.2016.07.006>
- Requena-López, A., Mata-Samperio, B. K., Solís-Almanza, F., Casillas-Vargas, R., & Cuadra-Reyes, L. A. (2020). Comparison between surgical techniques in gallstone ileus and outcomes. *Cirugía y Cirujanos*, 88(3), 292-296. <https://doi.org/10.24875/ciru.19001264>
- Riaz, N., Khan, M. R., & Tayeb, M. (2008). Gallstone ileus: Retrospective review of a single centre's experience using two surgical procedures. *Singapore Medical Journal*, 49(8), 624-626. PMID: 18756345
- Ripollés, T., Miguel-Dasit, A., Errando, J., Morote, V., Gómez-Abril, S. A., & Richart, J. (2001). Gallstone ileus: Increased diagnostic sensitivity by combining plain film and ultrasound. *Abdominal Imaging*, 26(4), 401-405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002610000190>
- Saldinger, P. F., & Itskovich, A. (2016). Gallstone ileus. In *Difficult decisions in hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery: An evidence-based approach* (pp. 291–295). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27365-5_25
- Scuderi, V., Adamo, V., Naddeo, M., Di Natale, W., Boglione, L., & Cavalli, S. (2018). Gallstone ileus: Monocentric experience looking for the adequate approach. *Updates in Surgery*, 70(4), 503–511. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13304-017-0495-z>
- Tan, Y. M., Wong, W. K., & Ooi, L. L. (2004). A comparison of two surgical strategies for the emergency treatment of gallstone ileus. *Singapore Medical Journal*, 45(2), 69–72.
- Vadher, D., Zacken, A., Shah, V., Silmi, M., Aguilar, L. S., Patel, K., Calcuttawala, M. A., & Rajagopal, P. (2024). The rolling stones: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the management of gallstone ileus. *Chirurgia (Bucur)*, 119(5), 483–514. <https://doi.org/10.21614/chirurgia.3046>
- Vasilescu, A. M., Tarcoveanu, E., Bradea, C., Lupascu, C., & Stagnitti, F. (2022). Gallstone ileus: What therapeutic options are there? *Annali Italiani di Chirurgia*, 92, 300–306.
- Vera-Mansilla, C., Sanchez-Gollarte, A., Matias, B., Mendoza-Moreno, F., Díez-Alonso, M., & Garcia-Moreno Nisa, F. (2022). Surgical treatment of gallstone ileus: Less is more. *Visceral Medicine*, 38(1), 72–77. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000518451>
- Yu, C. Y., Lin, C. C., Shyu, R. Y., Hsieh, C. B., Wu, H. S., Tyan, Y. S., Hwang, J. I., Liou, C. H., Chang, W. C., & Chen, C. Y. (2005). Value of CT in the diagnosis and management of gallstone ileus. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 11(14), 2142–2147. <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v11.i14.2142>
- Zaliekas, J., & Munson, J. L. (2008). Complications of gallstones: The Mirizzi syndrome, gallstone ileus, gallstone pancreatitis, complications of “lost” gallstones. *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 88(6), 1345–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2008.07.011>

Zygomalas, A., Karamanakos, S., & Kehagias, I. (2012). Totally laparoscopic management of gallstone ileus—Technical report and review of the literature. *Journal of*

Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques A, 22(3), 265–268.
<https://doi.org/10.1089/lap.2011.0375>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128863>